



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/825,492	03/28/1997	DAVID A. HUGHES	81862.P072	1020	
8791	7590 05/19/2004	EXAMINER			
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES. CA 90025			HSU, ALPUS		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
500711.02	, ,		2665	7.5	
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004	30	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		08/825,492	HUGHES ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Alpus H. Hsu	2665				
7 Period for F	he MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address -				
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the pen - If NO per - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, as od for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si received by the Office later than three months after the material term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a t. a reply within the statutory minimum of the triod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed irry (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on $\underline{0}$	5 December 2003.					
2a) <u></u> ⊤h	is action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ ⁻	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	of Claims			٠			
4a) 5)⊠ .Cla 6)⊠ .Cla 7)⊠ .Cla	Dim(s) 1-3,5-8,14-16,18-20,24,25 and 27 Of the above claim(s) is/are with aim(s) 1-3,5-8,14-16,18-20,24,25 and 27 Dim(s) 33-35,37,38 and 40 is/are rejected aim(s) 36,39,41 and 42 is/are objected to aim(s) are subject to restriction and Papers	drawn from consideration. <u>'-32</u> is/are allowed. d. o.	olication.				
	specification is objected to by the Exam	niner		4			
	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.				
_	olicant may not request that any objection to						
Re	placement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) <u></u> Th€	oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)∏ <i>A</i> 1.[2.[3.[Certified copies of the priority docum Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received. ents have been received in a priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)				•			
	References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
3) 🔲 Informatio	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ s)/Mail Date		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2665

- 1. The request filed on 05 December 2003 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 08/825,492 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 33-35, 37, 38 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over TAKASHIMA et al. in U.S. Patent No. 5,509,007 (of record) in view of RASHID et al. in U.S. Patent No. 5,583,868.

Referring to claim 33, TAKASHIMA et al. discloses a method comprising identifying partially filled ATM cells within an ATM cell stream (col. 9, lines 41-49), and merging two or more of the partially filled ATM cells into a fully packed ATM cell (col. 9, lines 57-60) as claimed. But TAKASHIMA et al. differs from claim in that it does not teach the identifying step is according to a lookup table indexed by connection identification information indicating

Art Unit: 2665

whether or not a connection includes partially filled ATM cells that can be merged. However, the use of lookup table indexed by connection identification information indicating whether or not a connection includes partially filled ATM cells that can be merged is well known in the art and commonly applied in communications field. RASHID et al., for example, from the similar field of endeavor, discloses the feature of utilizing lookup table indexed by connection identification information indicating whether or not a connection includes partially filled ATM cells that can be merged (col. 5, lines 3-19, col. 6, lines 2-12). One skilled in the art would have recognized the advantage of using lookup table for identifying each individual ATM cell. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the specific lookup table of RASHID et al. into the method of TAKASHIMA et al. for the purpose of improving the system reliability and efficiency.

Referring to claim 34, TAKASHIMA et al. discloses that the fully packed ATM cell has a header that includes information indicative of a merging method used (col. 10, lines 21-24).

Referring to claim 35, TAKASHIMA et al. discloses that the fully packed ATM cell further includes information required to reconstruct the two or more partially filled ATM cells (col. 10, lines 47-53).

Referring to claim 37, TAKASHIMA et al. discloses an ATM node, comprising a cell merging apparatus (col. 9, lines 17-18), configured to identify partially filled ATM cells within an ATM cell stream (col. 9, lines 41-49), and to merge two or more of the partially filled ATM cells into a fully packed ATM cell (col. 9, lines 57-60) as claimed. But TAKASHIMA et al. differs from claim in that it does not teach the ATM cell identification is according to a lookup table indexed by connection identification information indicating whether or not a connection

Art Unit: 2665

includes partially filled ATM cells that can be merged. However, the use of lookup table indexed by connection identification information indicating whether or not a connection includes partially filled ATM cells that can be merged is well known in the art and commonly applied in communications field. RASHID et al., for example, from the similar field of endeavor, discloses the feature of utilizing lookup table indexed by connection identification information indicating whether or not a connection includes partially filled ATM cells that can be merged (col. 5, lines 3-19, col. 6, lines 2-12). One skilled in the art would have recognized the advantage of using lookup table for identifying each individual ATM cell. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the specific lookup table of RASHID et al. into the apparatus of TAKASHIMA et al. for the purpose of improving the system reliability and efficiency.

Referring to claim 38, TAKASHIMA et al. discloses that the cell merging apparatus is further configured to insert the merged ATM cell into an outgoing cell stream so as to avoid cell out-of-order transmission within the cell stream (col. 15, lines 21-32).

Referring to claim 40, TAKASHIMA et al. discloses that the fully packed ATM cell has a header that includes information indicative of a merging method used (col. 10, lines 21-24).

- 5. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 14-16, 18-20, 24, 25, 27-32 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 36, 39, 41 and 42 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2665

Bitz et al. and Shtayer et al. are cited to show the common feature of table lookup mechanism in ATM switching system for ATM cell identification similar to the newly claimed feature in the new claims as filed on 05 December 2003.

8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 1-3, 5-8, 14-16, 18-20, 24, 25, 27-32, 36, 39, 41 and 42 are allowable over the prior art of record because all prior arts fail to teach or suggest a method or an apparatus for merging partially filled ATM cells by providing the merged cell having information indicative of a merging method used and a padding method used for the first partially filled ATM cell.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alpus H. Hsu whose telephone number is (703)305-4377. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (5:30-3:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy D. Vu can be reached on (703)308-6602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AHH

Alpus H. Hsu Primary Examiner Art Unit 2665